G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

S.F. 286-10 Sls 15, 16a, 18, 19b
S.F. 286-10 Sls 28, 31 & 34 dated 13 March 1915.
S.F. 286-10 Sls 37-38 dated 13 October
S.F. 286-10 Sls 1, 2, 3, 4, 5b, (S.F.)
Later references. 7, 8, 8a, 13,
19, 20, 23, 24, 26, 27 & 36.
dated 13 Septr.
F. 286-10 Sls 14, 16, 17 & 35, dated 13 June.
7 4-16 & Sls 9, 10, 11 & 12
Later Refles
G.7 38-17 86 : 1-11, 13 Octr 1918.

File No. 7-15

Serial Nos. 8, 12, 14, 16, 17 and 18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 8, 12, 14, 16, 17 and 18

P 33
R-C

Part B for April 1916

SUBJECT.

Loan account of the late Bakshi Thippiah's family.

Sl. 8. From Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao, Inamdar.

Req. Requests that the accounts may be got verified and his 1/6th part of Dirnalli Village may be reinstated to him free of all liabilities.

Sl. 18. His order :-

Informs him that he should sett the matter with the other members of the fam and apply to the Deputy Commr. Mysore dist. for any relief that he might seek in the matter.

M. Rathnasabhapathi
19-4-1916.

W D 1351—QPB—20,000—13-12-15

G. R. S. 11] 1915.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G-F)

NOTES.

File No. 7 of 15

FILE HEADING.

Loan account of Rao Bahadur Ballobh Nappiah's family

From

Mr. T. Sreenivasa Rau, Inamdar

No. Dated 14-10-15 Received 22-10-1915

Diary No.	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 8.
1351			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Petition stating that in respect of the debt of Rs 1008 together with interest at 5% thereon, which he agreed to pay to the Bangalore loan account of Mr. Sanna Rau & his family, more than what is due has been received from him and that the interest has been received from 1896-97 instead of from 28-9-1911 as agreed upon by him & requesting that the account may be so verified & his [illegible] part of Tirumalli Village may be restored to him free of all debts) [illegible].

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Paras 1-4 of the notes in file no 286-10 fls 37-38 give the previous history of this case

2) In the present petition Mr T. Sreeni- vasa Rau

GPB—20,000—8-8-14

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G-1- File No. 7 of 1915.

	Serial No.	
		Complaining of Excess recovery from him towards the loan account of Messrs Sammu Rau, Krishna Rau & others of the Appeals family.
		3) From the Dy Comm's letter
Flag P		dated 3rd Nov 1914 read in G.O
Flag N		dated 18.5.15, it is seen that
		Mr T. Sreenivasa Rau, Ex-agent,
		agreed to pay Rs 1008/- together
		with interest thereon (as agreed to
		by him vide ~~Dy Comm's letter dated~~
		~~as endorsed thereon~~ vide para 4 of
		the asst Comm's report dated 18th
		Feb 1912 enclosed in Dy Comm's letter
Flag O		dated 23-2-1912), towards the loan
		account of Rs 1008/. But from
		there is nothing in the letters resp
		to above nor in the appellant's to
Flag X		Dy Comm, dated 31st Oct 13, to show
	to be	from what date the interest @ 5% should
		be charged. Apparently, the
		question of interest only arose only
Flag O		in 1912 as seen from the Asst
		Comm's report as mentioned above.
		The petitioner now contends that
		the interest on the amount should

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G-S-File No. 7 of 1913.

Serial No.

be charged only from 28-9-11, the date on which he agreed to pay interest on the amount, and not from 18-6-97 as was from which date the Dy Comm's office has charged interest. This is one point requiring orders.

(4) The second point of contention calling for orders is the alleged inaccuracy of the Dy Comm's office accounts. The petitioner urges that the statement of accounts relating to the loan of Rs 1008/- in question, furnished to him by the D C's Office is quite inaccurate and he repudiates that the balance of Rs 607-15-6 shown therein against him as due on 1-7-1914 is not at all correct as it does not take into account 491-10-3 recovered in 1909-10. On the other hand, he produces a statement of his own accounts in which he claims that not only the whole debt has been discharged but that a sum of Rs 694-13-8 has been recovered from him in excess which he as prays may be repaid. From this, interest at 5% is charged from 1896-97 in the Dy Comm's office accounts.

While stating accounts of D C's office enclosed

Enclosed with letter under reply

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

F. R. S. ...

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C-f- File No. 7 of 191 S.

Serial No.

It is not known under what order or authority the Dy Commrs office has done this.

5) The above two points may be cleared up by a reference of the above petition together with the 2 Statements of accounts, to the Dy Commr, myself, for verification & report as to the actual amt due.

Submitted for orders

25/11/15

6) Shree The petitioner refers to two points. (1) that the sum of Rs 1008 which he agreed to repay to the other branch was not correctly calculated and giving some details as to amounts omitted in the calculation etc. These facts are not verifiable in this Office as the basis on which Rs 1008 were arrived at as the amount due from this petitioner & the other Branch was is not known to this Office. The petitioner represents that if a notice was served to the other parties, they would admit the correctness of his figures and agree to the amount being reduced. So far as the Govt is concerned it is immateria

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15.

Serial No.

What this amount is fixed at, as ~~it is only~~ it represents the amount due ~~from~~ by petitioner to the 3rd branch (with which Govt are not concerned ± but) which Govt have agreed to deduct from the revenues of the Village falling to the share of petr and credit to the loan account of the 3rd Branch. If this amount is agreed Govt will recover more from the 3rd Branch.

(1) This petition may be sent to Govt for a report, ~~after Enquiry in which both sides may be asked to be present~~ as to the facts alleged therein and as to whether the members of the third Branch are prepared to admit the contentions of the petitioner.

(2) The other point is about the levy of interest on this sum of 100 due to 3rd Branch ~~from~~ for ~~that~~ periods ~~to~~ prior to 28-9-911 when petitioner agreed to pay this amount. Debt ~~with~~ This ~~fact~~ question also does not directly affect Govt. It is a matter between the two parties and Govt are interested only in seeing that justice is done to both. If 100 Rs were arrived at

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G-F File No. 7 of 191 5

Serial No.,

The Dy Comr is apparently levying interest for past periods in this manner and crediting it to the account of the 3rd Branch, acceding to the recommendation of the S.D.O in his letter No 392 dated 1.2.12 (Enclosure to Dy Comr's letter dated 23.2.12) Flag O. The S.D.O says that payment of interest at 5% was agreed to by the pet.r but the pet.r now says that what he agreed to was payment from date 20.9.11 and not for earlier periods as is now attempted to be recovered.

Flag O

(1) There is no reason why Govt should stand as collecting agent for the 3rd Branch and forcibly recover from pet.r interest which he amounts which he repudiates and pay them to the 3rd branch. Even if the propriety of levying interest in the manner adopted by the Dy Comr is admitted, we may leave it to the 3rd branch to recover it in any manner it can from the pet.r and not forcibly collect it for them as we are now doing.

(2) It would appear to be equitable to levy interest only from the date when their claims were fixed at 100%.

(3) Further on this point also a report may be called for from the Dy Comr

27.11.15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

1951

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C-7 File No. 7 of 1915. 7

Serial No.

(1) There must be finality sometime. He has accepted liability for Rs 1000. He cannot be allowed to question it & begin de novo.

(2) As regards the question quoted on this sum point to 28.9.11 a reference may be made to Dy Comm as suggested by A/S.

(3) The reason why Govt wants to realize from petitioner the amounts due by him to the 3rd Branch is perhaps that Govt either placed him in management or agreed to that arrangement. Is any information on this point forthcoming?

For Govt 9/7 by

Map P' in connected file [RP 165 of 1893-94]

(3) In G.O. No Comp 317-21 dated 7-6-1893, it was ordered that Tirunelli village in question & other villages should be taken under Govt management. But this village was really taken under Govt management in 1909 as per G.O 422-30 & 15.7.09 Hence it is seen that Govt seems to have allowed the ryot, apparently, under any Expres

File not traceable readily

G.O. No 3027 dated 15.7.09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat..

G.f. File No. 7 of 191 5.

Serial No.	
	Govt. authority, Mr T. Sreenivasa Rau to look after the village accounts under the general supervision of the Dy Commr. Mr Please see para 2 of the Asst Comm's report dt 1/2/1912 which makes this fact clear.
Endorsement to Flag O	
	Draft forwarding the petition with statements of accounts enclosed therein, to the Dy Commr Mysore Dt., is submd as ordered above
	Issue
	Mon 22.12
	9.12.15
	9
12	To The Dy. Commr. Mysore District no: JL30688 6.1.16 Forwards the petition for report
17	From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt. No.487 dt 28.1.1916. Furnishes the information called in respect of the assessment of the loan account of the late Mr. T. Srinivasa Rau, Manager of Jeevanally and a member of the Bathchi Thyparaiah family.
15	Subs. In regard to the contention of the Petitioner that Rs 1008/- does not represent the correct amount

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

1354 (961)

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G 7 File No. | of 1915.

Serial No.	
	as due from him; and that sums of 150 Rs + Rs 341-10-3 have not been credited for in his favour, the Dy Comr explains that this contention was raised by the petitioner and when called upon for further investigation into the question, he retracted and agreed to the correctness of the amount and that-
Z	G.O. No 4914/G.7-286-10 dy 1-3-1913 sets at rest this point.
Q	(16) In his petition dy 24.1.1912 he consented to pay interest on the average amount of short credits per year which the sum of Rs 1008 represented as the cumulative sum which it was found he was to make good. This is refuted by
P	him in paras 4 & 6 of the petition dy 20.11.1915
	(17) It is for orders if the case calls for any further investigation and whether the Dy Comr's action may not be deemed to be correct.
	U. 8/2/16. (18) The letter to the Deputy Comr from this Office is not happily worded & he therefore merely states why certain amounts were omitted & why interest for past periods was calculated - What we wanted was the opinion of the Dy Comr on the merits of the case & whether in his opinion the relief as asked for by the pet[r] was deserving of consideration
A	regarding calculation of interest

The D.C's opinion on this point A may again be sought

8/2/16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

1916

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. 7 File No. 7 of 1915.

Serial No.	
	Draft submitted for approval.
	29/2
	2nd Better obtain this by till the Shanmanna's return to ensure all points being entered with draft. 29/2
	2nd 25/2
116	To
	The Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt:
	K 4186 dt 29-2-16
	With reference to K 14, returns the enclosures this letter, with a request that the Govt may be favoured with his opinion on the merits of the petitioner's representation
17.	From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt. No. 600 dt 27-3-1916
	States that to comply with the prayer of the Petitioner T. Srinivasa Rao would be rather prejudicial to the interest of the (Counter petitioners) other members of the family and that therefore he is of opinion that the Petitioner may be directed to settle the matter with the other members of the family.
	Submitted for orders with reference to the concluding portion of para 18 - Asst Secy's orders

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

1961+2637

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.J. File No. 7 of 1915.

Serial No.	
	D.8.2.1916. Kind attention is invited to the order in para 11(6)
	A draft as per Dy Com^rs opinion is also subd for
	orders.
	U. 4/4/16. The endorsement does not cover all
	the points in the petition. Please see paras 6 & 7
	above. A revised endorsement may be put up
	7.4.16
	Subd with a revised draft.
	U 8/4/16. 10.4.16.
18	To
	Mr T. Srinivasa Rao
	Inamdar of Trimelle Village
	Hunsur
	Tel 5399 dt. 14.4.16
	With reference to yr letter informing him that for reasons stated in the endorsement he should settle the matter with the other members of the family and apply to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore. Thereafter them, for any Relief that he might seek in the matter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

DIARY NO. 1359

DATE 15 JAN 16
SECTION 9-7
FILE NO. 7-15
SERIAL NO. 8

The Financial Secretary.
The Government of Mysore.
Mysore.

Respected Sir,

I The undersigned most respectfully begs the following few lines for your kind consideration and favourable disposal.

II The Sarvamanya Tirunalli Village, Periyapatna Hobli of Hunsur Taluk, is divided into 3 parts, of which one part belongs to my brother and myself, The second to The deceased Sannu Rao and the other belongs to Subba Rao and another.

III My brother and myself hypothecated our 1/3 part of the Village to the Government for Rs 3,000. The deceased Sannu Rao hypothecated his 1/3 of the Village together with his other properties to the Govt for Rs 20,782. My brother and myself have cleared our debts to the Govt.

IV During my management of the Village, the accounts which I kept of the deceased Sannu Rao and others did not satisfy them and on their information to the Government, the Govt got from me a Voucher on 28-9-09 to the effect that I should clear the remaining debt Rs 1008 to the Govt out of the revenue of the Village. According to this condition, I have paid Rs 941-15-7 for the year ending 1913-14. Even before this sum was paid, the other shareholders demanded that I should pay interest for Rs 1008, for which also, I executed a Voucher, consenting to pay interest at the rate of 5% per annum on 24-1-1912.

Flag N

Flag O

5. On my application to the Deputy Commissioner as to the exact amount ~~I had to pay~~ due from me, excluding my payment of principal and interest, I received a reply stating that I had to pay the principal itself Rs 607-15-6, together with the interest from the years 1896-97 to 1913-14. The calculation thus made by the Deputy Commissioner is a mistake. There is no reason for me to pay interest on Rs 1008 from the year ending 1896-97. The interest, on the other hand, is to be calculated from 28-9-1911, the date on which a Voucher was effected between the Govt and myself.

6. In my grief and old age and in the hurry to go away to Chickmagalur, from which place I received the sad news of the death of my daughter's children, I don't know what contents I put in in my application to the Sub-Division officer. Being an old man of 70 years, the contents of my application have been completely effaced out of my memory.

7. Now, being an old man, born of a well-known noble family, I am reduced to the verge of starvation. My humble request is that what is due from me to the Govt should be calculated from 28-9-1911 and after the complete settlement of my accounts, my 1/6 part of the Village should be reinstated to me, thus helping me out in my difficulties.

రా హొసకళ్ళంబిద్దుగళ్ళ। రా జొళెలవకొంకులం।
రా జొంపం లొంకె కొజ్జెవ। రా జొంకి కకకీసన్నగాం॥.
భద్రం శ్రీకేసవా। దృష్టోవా। శ్రీకేసవా। కాంతి కేసవివా।
అంగి శ్రీకేసవా। రా జేంద్ర। నంబి కేంద్ర। శ్రీరంగనాథం॥

I remain, esteemed Sir,
Your most obedient servant.
T. Seeni Vasavaraya
Zamindar

14-10-1915.

Received 1-11-1915.

ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ
ಅವರ ಪುತ್ಥಳಿಯನ್ನು ಅನಾವರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರಾದ ಡಾ.ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್ ಅವರು ಸ್ವಾಗತ ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆ ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಹಿರಿಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು. ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರಾದ ಡಾ.ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್ ಅವರು ಸ್ವಾಗತ ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆ ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಹಿರಿಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು.

ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರಾದ ಡಾ.ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್ ಅವರು ಸ್ವಾಗತ ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆ ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಹಿರಿಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು.

ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರಾದ ಡಾ.ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್ ಅವರು ಸ್ವಾಗತ ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆ ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಹಿರಿಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು.

ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರಾದ ಡಾ.ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್ ಅವರು ಸ್ವಾಗತ ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆ ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಹಿರಿಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು.

ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರಾದ ಡಾ.ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್ ಅವರು ಸ್ವಾಗತ ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆ ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಹಿರಿಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು.

ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರಾದ ಡಾ.ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್ ಅವರು ಸ್ವಾಗತ ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆ ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಹಿರಿಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಕೃಷ್ಣಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು.

నా నగలకొన్ని ఆభరణాలకింద కొన్ని రత్నాలకొన్ని
సరిగా లేదు. వీటికి నాకు ఎలాంటి సంబంధం లేదు
మీరు నాకు ఇవ్వాల్సిన నగలు మొత్తం ఇచ్చారు
నా నగలకోసం నేను ఎక్కడికి వెళ్ళాలో చెప్పండి
అని ఆమె అడిగింది. నా నుండి మీకు 1008 గ్రా 1900 రూపాయల
అప్పు ఉన్నట్లు మీరు రాసిచ్చిన ప్రామిసరీ నోటు
ని నేటి వరకు మీరు చెల్లించలేదు, వెంటనే ఆ అప్పు
క్రింద మీరు ఇవ్వవలసిన నగలు ఇవ్వండి అని
నేను అడిగాను, 28-11-11 లో మీరు
ఇచ్చిన నగలు నాకు సరిపోవడం లేదు, అవి నాకు
చాలా పాతవి అని, కొత్తవి వేసుకోవడానికి
ఇవ్వమని అడిగారు, నేను ఇవ్వలేదు. నా నగలు
తిరిగి ఇవ్వమని అడిగాను, మీరు ఇవ్వలేదు
అని ఫిర్యాదు చేశారు. ఇది సరైన పద్ధతి కాదు. నా నగలు
నాకు ఇప్పించండి.

20-11-15, T. Sreenivasarao
Jewellers

150-0-0

341-10-3.

491-10-3

1141-15-7

1633-9-10

938-12-2

694-13-8

20-11-15, T. Sreenivasadasa

Sreenivasadasa
Family

Statement showing the adjustments effected towards the Sum of Rs 1008-0-0 and interest due from T. Srinivasa Rao Jeevan due of Jurisdiction towards the loan account of the Srinivasa Rao and Others as per G.O. No.

Years	Principal	Calculation of Interest					Amounts Credited		
		Period	Rate	Amount		Principal	Interest	Total	
1896-97	77-8-7	12½ years at 3-13-1	5% 11 per Rs.	46	7				
1897-98	77-8-7	11 00	00	42	9	1			
1898-99	77-8-7	10 00	00	38	11	2			
1899-1900	77-8-7	9 00	00	34	13	3			
1900-01	77-8-7	8 00	00	30	15	4			
1901-02	77-8-7	7 00	00	27	1	15			
1902-03	77-8-7	6 00	00	23	3	6			
1903-04	77-8-7	5 00	00	19	5	7			
1904-05	77-8-7	4 00	00	15	7	8			
1905-06	77-8-7	3 00	00	11	9	9			
1906-07	77-8-7	2 00	00	7	11	10			
1907-08	77-8-7	1 00	00	3	13	11			
1908-09	77-9-0								
	1008-0-0			301	13	6			
Amount due	1-7 = 1907								
Principal	Rs Ash	Total							
	1008-0-0 Rs	301-13-6 Rs		1309-13-6					
	1008-0-0	301-15-6	=	1309	13 6				
1910-11	1008-0-0	1907-10 to 1910-11 at 50-6-4 per year	5%	100	12 8				
	Total due			402	10 2		243-9-6	243-9-6	1907-0-0 Principal due
1911-12	1008-0-0	1 year	5%	50	6 4				
	Total due	1 year	20%	209	7 -	18 15 7	209-7-0	228-2-7	
1912-13	989-0-5	1907 to 1912 5 years	5%	49	7 2	199 10 5	49-7-2	249-7-7	Principal due 1907-1912
1913-14	789-6-0	1 year	5%	39	7 5	151 6 6	39-7-5	220-13-6	607-15-0
				Total		100 0 6	541-15-1	Due	
				Amount		Principal due	20		

20-11-15 [illegible]

T. Srinivasa Rao

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT Forwards the petition for repairs

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 6-1-16

Signed by W Thomasina

Section G.7

File No. 7-15

Serial No. 12

No. K. 3068

& 6 January 16

To The D.C. Cuddapah

Sir I have the honor to forward herewith the petition together with statement of account dated 14.10.1915 of Mr T. Srinivasa Rao, late Patel of Muppuri's family and Inamdar of Sarvamanya Tirumalli in the Amoor Tk village as well together with the statement of account enclosed therein, and to

over.

to request that the allegations made therein may be got verified and the result intimated to the appellate tribunal at an early date.

The reason why the interest has been charged in the DD appeal of account in Rs. 1008/- referred to in the petition, for periods prior to 28.9.71, may also be reported.

Dhaveli
Mbr
22/12
for Member

Mg Mbr
9/12/75

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—14-2-14

No. 487
Fn-5

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 28th January 1916.

DIARY No 1961.



From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

Dewan Bahadur Rajamanthra Pravina
T. S. Chakravarti, Esquire., M.A.F.R.A.S.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.3068/G.F.7-15-12 dated the 6th January 1916 calling for a report on the petitions of Mr. T.Srinivasa Rao, Inamdar of Tirunally village, Hunsur Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-.

The petitioner states that in the course of the settlement of accounts between himself and the members of the III Branch of Bakshi Thippia's family he entered into a compromise on 28th September 1911 to the effect that a sum of Rs 1008 should be recovered from his share of the collections of Tirynalli village and credited towards the repayment of the loan granted in favour of Messrs Sannoo Rao and other members of the III Branch of the family, that the Settlement related only to the accounts up to the end of 1908-1909, that he subsequently credited varying sums of money amounting to Rs 150 into the Taluk Treasury Commencing from July 1909 to January 1910, that on the date of handing over management of the entire village to Government, arrears amounting to Rs 341-10-3 were outstanding against the tenants of the village, that the aforesaid sums do not find a place in the statement showing the adjustment of his share of the income of Tirunalli village towards the repayment of the sum of Rs 1008 found due to the share of Messrs. Sanroo Rao and others, that the compromise entered into with the members being

on 28th September 1911, the levy of interest from 1896-97 by the Deputy Commissioner is improper; and that therefore the accounts may be caused to be revised in the light of the information furnished by him.

The request of the petitioner to give credit to the items referred to above towards the repayment of the sum of Rs 1008/- could not be complied with as it has been decided in G.O.No.Fl.491 G.F.286-10-29 dated the 1st March 1913 that there are no grounds to re-open the case. Consequently the said sums of money could not be shown in the statement of adjustments furnished to the petitioner from this Office.

From the references of this Office it will be seen that the sum of Rs 150/- referred to by the petitioner has been adjusted towards the repayment of the loan granted tothe members of the III Branch by Government.

The collections out of the outstanding arrears being payable to three different share holders in equal proportions, the same has been refunded to those that were entitled to such a treatment and the share of the collections due to the members of the III Branch has been credited towards the liquidation of the Govt: loan.

As regards the interest charged in the accounts of this Office on Rs 1008/- for periods prior to 28th September 1911, I beg to state that the Assistant Commissioner, Mysore Division, in his letter No 392 dated the 1st February 1912 reported that it was fair to charge the Agent with interest on the money short credited by him for the period during which the village remained under his management and that the Agent also submitted a petition agreeing to pay interest at the rate of 5 % on the lines recommended by him.

The said report was submitted to the Financial Secretary to Government with this Office letter No 423 dated the 24th February 1912 for orders. In reply to the said communication the

Financial Secretary in his letter No.Fl.1955/F.F.286-10-38 dated the 31st October 1913 intimated that interest at 5% might be recovered from the Ex. Agent on the sum of Rs 1008 which he agreed to pay. Agreeably to the aforesaid communications interest was charged in the accounts of this Office.

A copy of the petition of Mr. Srinivasa Rao agreeing to pay interest and a copy of the Assistant Commissioner's letter No.392 of the 1st February 1912 are herein enclosed for perusal.

The petitions received with the letter under reply are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

svs

G. R. S. 26]

File 7- 15
Serial No. 16

Diary No. of letter replied to 961

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191 .

Date of issue 29-2-16

Signed by W Ramanna

No. H 4186
& 29 Feb 9/16

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No 487 dy 28-1-1916 and returning the petitions of Inamdar J. Srinivas Rao of the late Bakshi Thippaiah's family I am directed to request you to be so good as to favour Govt with your opinion on the merits of the petitioner's representation

over.

SUBJECT.

~~his case be~~ ~~to the~~ ~~in regard to~~ and whether in your opinion the relief sought ~~the relief asked for by the petitioner~~ by the petr regarding ~~Regarding the Calculation of~~ calculation of interest ~~interest is deserving of Consideration~~ is deserving of consideration.

The Enclosures received with your letter under advisement are returned for reference.

Thave Sr.

Asst
11/2
for Secretary.

15/2

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

No. 600 Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dist.
L-6

Dated, Mysore, 27th March 1916.

Order No. 2637
1915-1916
G7
7-15
17

II
29/3

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

Dewan Bahadur Rajamanthra Pravina
J.S. Chakravarti, Esquire., M.A. F.R.A.S.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Depart
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. F.4186/G.F. 7-1b-16 d dated the 29th February 1916 calling for my opinion as to whether the relief sought by Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao, Inamdar of Tirunalli village and a member of the late Bakshi Thippiah's family etc, I have the honor to state that a reference to the petition dated 24-10-1911 (copy enclosed) presented by Messrs M.K. Krishna Rao, Venkata Rao and M.V. Gangadhara Rao both on behalf of themselves and on behalf of certain other members of the III -- Branch of the family shews that the aforesaid members entered into a compromise and accepted Rs.1008/ from Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao in satisfaction of the claims in dispute between them on the express ~~out~~understanding that interest should be paid by the Ex-Agent on the said sum of Rs.1008/- commencing from the year in which he assumed management of their share of the village, and agreeably to the above the latter appears to have agreed to pay the same. It seems therefore that to comply with the prayer of the petitioner without consulting the other members with whom the compromise was entered into is likely to prejudice the interests of the latter.

In these circumstances it seems to me that the petitioner may be directed to settle the matter with the other members of

the

the family and to apply through them for any relief that he may seek in the matter.

The enclosures received with your letter under advertance are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

M.Kantaraj Urs, Esq.,B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Respected Sir,

We humbly beg to state that on our representation to your honour in regarding the mismanagement made by Mr.T.Srinivasa Rao, Ex-Agent of Tirunalli village, your honour was pleased to direct the Sub Divisional Officer of Mysore to hold an enquiry in the matter.

In this connection we beg to state that the Ex-Agent has admitted before the Sub Divisional officer that he has incurred certain unauthorized expenditure, omitted to include certain items of Revenue, he has failed to credit the full amount due to this branch of the family, he has no authorized vouchers for his expenses, and he appropriated the deficit amounts for his own purposes and he has also deposed that the sum of Rs.1008 may be taken as the aggregate of short credits and unincurred and authorized charges, and this sum may be made good from his share of the income of the village. During the enquiry though we requested the Sub Divisional officer among other points to recommend to your honour to allow interest on the short credits for the reasons cited supra and to recommend to reduce the rate of interest on our loan, he was not kind enough to take notice of our humble prayer. We agreed to this amount as a sort of concession to him, on the express understanding that interest for the previous years will be allowed on this sum. This important omission has sustained us a heavy loss, ~~for~~ for this amount was locked up with him for over a period of 15 years for his own purposes and benefits. If the actual realizations of our share were adjusted towards the liquidation of our debt year by year not only the principal would have

of our night wear by sight not only the literal wo[illegible]
realizations of our efforts were aligned towards the [illegible]
of [illegible]e weeks for, the cum[illegible] and beneficial--
less. [illegible] the amount was looked on with [illegible] favor [illegible] derived
on the 1st of [illegible] in outward outlook has brought me a keen
standing that I cannot [illegible] more weeks will be allowed
account as a part of course[illegible]. So it, on the evening [illegible]
e[illegible] to the[illegible] motive of our [illegible] travel. We aspect to [illegible] in
to realize the [illegible] of [illegible]ment on our [illegible], [illegible] we [illegible] --
[illegible]y out credit for the [illegible] [illegible] and to recommend
[illegible] to receive [illegible] to your [illegible] to [illegible] interest on
[illegible]quity [illegible]. We [illegible] [illegible] Distrossed officer who
[illegible] the share of [illegible] on [illegible] of the [illegible] Durin[illegible]
and [illegible]interested and motivated [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] of Da.ICOS [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible]

been reduced to a considerable extent but also the interest we have already paid would have been saved. The non compliance of our request to allow interest on this amount is ultra-vires. We therefore beg your honour as we have done in person yesterday to kindly recommend to Government that Rupees 1008 together with interest thereon (both for previous years and for the --- future) may be adjusted towards the liquidation of our debt.

For which act of kindness we shall ever pray.

25-10-11

We beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servants.

(Signed) M. K. Krishna Rao.
(Signed) Venkatarao Son of Ramoo Rao
(Signed) M. V. Gangadhara Rao.

" Copy "

K. S. [illegible]

Depty Commissioner
Agency District

Comp[d]
1886

Pt. 292

29-1-912.

శ్రీ వేంకటేశ్వరాయ నమః

శ్రీమాన్ రాజా శ్రీ వాసిరెడ్డి వేంకటాద్రి నాయుడు గారి

ముద్దు కుమారులు శ్రీమాన్ వాసిరెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసరావు గారు

J. Sreenivasa Rao గారు సంతకము చేసినది.

మీ తండ్రి గారు మద్రాసు లో ఉన్నప్పుడు మీకు 1896-97 వ సంవత్సరము లో 1908-9 వ సంవత్సరము లో నాకు లభించిన 3 వేల రూపాయల విలువ గల వజ్రాల ఉంగరాలు రెండు, 1008 రూపాయలు విలువ గల వజ్రాల పతకము ఒకటి, ఈ మూడు వస్తువులు మీ తండ్రి గారు మీ తల్లి గారు మీ అక్కగారు మీ బావ గారు మీ పిన్ని గారు మీ మేనత్త గారు మీ మేనమామ గారు మీ అమ్మమ్మ గారు మీ తాతగారు మీ నాయనమ్మ గారు మీ తాతగారు మీ అత్తగారు మీ మామగారు మీ బావమరిది మీ మరదలు మీ వదిన గారు మీ అన్నగారు మీ తమ్ముడు మీ చెల్లెలు మీ కోడలు మీ కొడుకు మీ కూతురు మీ అల్లుడు మీ మనుమడు మీ మనుమరాలు మీ ముని మనుమడు మీ ముని మనుమరాలు మీ ఆడపడుచు మీ మేనకోడలు మీ మేనల్లుడు మీ మేనకోడలు మీ మేనల్లుడు మీ మేనకోడలు మీ మేనల్లుడ

ಪ್ರಮಾಣವನ್ನು ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿ ನಿರ್ಧಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

24-1-12. (Signed) T. Sreenivasa Rao

"Coby"

K.S. Hills

Comb.
Hyd. 28.1.15.

for Deputy Commissioner

Copy of letter No.392 dated 1st February 1912 from the Assistant Commissioner, Mysore Sub Division to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

****

"In reply to your docket No.3737 dated 19th December 1911 desiring me to hold further enquiries and report whether interest may be allowed on the aggregate of short credits admitted by Ex. Agent Srinivasa Rao, I have the honor to state as follows:-

On enquiry the petitioners told me that they had nothing to add to what they had stated in their petition to you.

It seems fair to charge the Agent with interest on the money misappropriated by him, though owing to the absence of information showing the exact amount of mis-appropriation in each particular year, the calculation of that interest must be some what rough and arbitrary. The proposal of the petitioners to treat the aggregate amount as having been short credited in equal parts during the successive years during which the village remained under the management of the Ex.Agent and to calculate interest on that supposition, seems to afford the only possible and workable basis of computation that could be devised, under the circumstances, and I recommend it accordingly.

As for the rate of interest to be charged I beg to suggest that the Ex Agent may be ordered to pay the same rate of interest that the Inamdars have been paying on the loans obtained by them from Government namely 5%.

To ascertain the views of the Ex Agent on this subject, I issued a Notice to him to appear before me. On account of old age and ill health however he was not able to attend Court , but sent a petition in which he has agreed to pay interest at the rate of 5% on the lines herein recommended.

The petition and the file of papers are enclosed with this communication.

There was one point which the petitioners have been continually pressing, and which I omitted to touch in my former report, namely reduction of interest payable by them on their loans. I understand that in the case of other Inamdars Government have reduced the rate of interest to 3½ % . If a similar concession could be shown in the present case, it would materially lighten the embarassments of an old but ruined family, about whose welfare Government have always been solicitous".

" COPY "

for Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

G.R.S. 34]

No. R5379

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(G.S.T-7-15—18

Office Memorandum.

To

the 11th April 1916.

M.R.Ry.

T. Srinivas Rao.

Shanbhog of Tirumalli village

Hunsur.

In reply to his petition dy 20.11.1915 representing that (1) the sum of Rs 1008 which he agreed to pay to the other Branch of Bakshi Thippaiah's family was not correctly calculated and furnishing some details as to amounts omitted in the calculation; and

(2) that interest on the aforesaid sum of Rs 1008 ~~should~~ to be levied from the 28th Sept 1911, when he agreed to pay the amount and that it is ~~irregular and incorrect to~~ not levy interest for previous years; the petitioner M.T. Srinivas Rao is hereby informed that as per Compromise entered into by him with the other members of the family, he consented ~~to be responsible~~ (a) to make good the sum of Rs 1008 and (b) to pay interest on it "Commencing from the year in which he assumed management of their share of the village", and that consequently the matter is one which he should settle with the other members of the family and apply to the Dy Comr Mysore through them, for any relief that he might seek in the matter

By order

for Secretary

P.T.O.

GPB—10,000—13-8-10

Copy to the Dy Com^r Mysore Dt for information with reference to his n^o 601 dy 27. 3. 1916.

By order.

10/4/16

for Secretary.

draft H. BW
10/4/16.